





OFFICIAL

FAX RECEIVED

MAY 16 onna

GROUP 1600

Confidentiality Mussage

This communication sent by facisimile is confidential, may be privileged and is intended for the exclusive use of the addressee. Any other person is strictly prohibited from disclosing, distributing or reproducing it. If the addressee cannot be reached or is unknown to you, please inform us immediately by telephone (416) 340-6000 at our expense and delete this message and all copies. Thank you.

Number of pages including cover letter:

OGILVY

RENAULT

Date:

May 15, 2003

From:

Susan 1. Tandan

Telephone:

(416) 216-1865

E-mail:

standan@ogilvyrenault.com

To	Company	City	Fax
Laurie Mayes, Examiner	USPTO	Arlington, VA	703.305.3014

Response to restriction requirement in connection with U.S.S.N. 10/053,662.

ogilvyrenauit.com

OGILVY RENAU

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: John Baird et al.

Serial No.:

10/053,662

1653 Jannie

Filed:

January 24, 2002

For:

ISOLATION OF THE LAMIN 72 GENE IN HORSES AND ITS USE IN

DIAGNOSTIC JUNCTIONAL EPIDERMOLYSIS BULLOSA

Our File.:

P84-US4

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

This is in reply to the Restriction Requirement dated April 18, 2003.

The Examiner has indicated that restriction in the present application to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Polynucleotides, constructs, kits and method of making equine laminin v2 protein (claims 1-5, 9-11 and 15);
- П. Equine laminin y2 protein (claims 6-8):
- M. Method of diagnosing epidermolysis bullosa by identifying laminin v2 nucleic acid (claim 12-14 and 19);
- IV. Method of diagnosing epidermolysis bullosa by screening for laminin 72 protein (claim 17, 18 and 20); and
- V. Antibodies (claim 16).

Applicant respectfully traverses the foregoing claim restrictions for the following reasons.

OGILVY RENAULT

Page 2

PATENT

At the outset, the Examiner alleges that the claims of Group I and II relate to two different inventions because the process as claimed in Group I can be used to make other proteins and because the laminin protein as claimed can be made by another process. Applicant respectfully points out that the process as claimed requires expression of a construct comprising laminin γ^2 -encoding polynucleondes. Expression of such a construct will not yield any other protein except for that defined in the claims of Group II. Moreover, Applicant also points out, with respect, that the laminin protein as claimed is not made by a materially different process in nature as alleged by the Examiner. The process by which the laminin protein is made in nature is the same as the process by which it is made recombinantly, and involves the process steps of transcription followed by translation. These process steps are both required whether protein synthesis occurs naturally or recombinantly. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits the restriction of claims into Groups I and II is improper and requests the Examiner to reconsider and withdraw this restriction.

The Examiner also alleges that Groups I and III define distinct inventions. In view of the comments set out above with respect to the claims of Groups I and III, (i.e. that the process of making and the product are not distinct), the Examiner is respectfully directed to 37 CFR 1.141 (b) which states:

(b) Where claims to all three categories, product, process of making, and process of use, are included in a national application, a three way requirement for restriction can only be made where the process of making is distinct from the product. If the process of making and the product are not distinct, the process of using may be joined with the claims directed to the product and the process of making the product even though a showing of distinctiveness between the product and process of using the product can be made.

In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully submits that the restriction of the claims of Groups I, II and III is improper and the Examiner is requested to reconsider and withdraw the



Page 3

PATENT

restriction requirement in connection therewith.

In the event that the Examiner maintains the restriction of the claims of Groups I, II and III, Applicant wishes to elect, with traverse, the claims of Group II, i.e. claims 12-14 and 19.

Authorization is hereby made to charge any required fees to the Deposit Account No. 195113. Charge any additional fees required by this paper or credit any overpayment in the manner authorized above.

Applicant awaits an Action on the merits.

Respectfully submitted,

Agent for Applicants
Registration No. 37,014
Telephone: 416-216-1865

Date: May 15, 2003

Ogilvy Renault Suite 1600 – 1981 McGill College Avenue Montreal, Quebec H3A 2Y3